



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/783,789

02/20/2004

Leon Zheng

174/294

7188

36981 7590 11/25/2008

ROPES & GRAY LLP
PATENT DOCKETING 39/361
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 10036-8704

EXAMINER

DO, CHAT C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2193

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/25/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/783,789	Applicant(s) ZHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner Chat C. Do	Art Unit 2193	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment filed 09/04/2008.
2. Claims 1-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 8 are independent claims. In Amendment, claims 11-24 are withdrawn from consideration. This Office Action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Owen et al. (U.S. 4,876,660).

Re claim 1, Owen et al. disclose in Figure 6A a method for initializing or zeroing an accumulator value (e.g. abstract and Figure 6A) comprising: routing a first pair of input signals (e.g. XA and YA) and a second pair of input signals (e.g. XB and YB) to circuitry that is concentrated in a particular area of a programmable logic resource (e.g. programmable logic 10 in Figure 6A); applying a multiply operation to the second pair of input signals using the circuitry (e.g. first stage with XB*YB as output of multiplier 30); applying a feedback output to the circuitry (e.g. through mux 56), wherein the feedback output is initially set to zero (e.g. as 0 feeding-in); concatenating, in a first clock cycle (e.g. by clock 1 in Figure 6A), each signal of the first pair of input signals and the

feedback output (e.g. second stage with XA and YA are concatenated to form 32-bit into mux 32); and applying an accumulate operation on a result of the multiply operation with a result of the concatenating (e.g. last stage of adder 34 in Figure 6A) in the same first clock cycle (e.g. the feedbacks as accumulation to the adder 34 is capable of adding previous results once the inputs are ready in Figure 6A); and storing a result of the accumulate operation for use as an initialized or zeroed accumulator value (e.g. component 40 or 42 in Figure 6A; Figure 3 and table 4).

Re claim 2, Owen et al. further disclose in Figure 6A setting the first pair of input signals to zero (e.g. by inserting 0 input into mux 32).

Re claim 3, Owen et al. further disclose in Figure 6A applying the accumulate operation comprises one of: adding the result of the multiply operation to the result of the concatenating; and subtracting the result of the multiply operation from the result of the concatenating (e.g. by adder 34 with right side is the result concatenated and the left side is the feedback as the result of multiplication).

Re claim 4, Owen et al. further disclose in Figure 6A setting the first pair of input signals to values that when concatenated in a predetermined order, comprises a first predetermined number of most significant bits of an initialization value (e.g. 32-bits); and setting the second pair of input signals to values such that the result of the multiply operation comprises a second predetermined number of least significant bits of the initialization value (e.g. both of which can be set to a predetermined number which is zero as feed into mux 32).

Art Unit: 2193

Re claim 5, Owen et al. further disclose in Figure 6A the first predetermined number and the second predetermined number comprise the initialization value (e.g. initial zero feed into mux 32).

Re claim 6, Owen et al. further disclose in Figure 6A the feedback output has a number of bits equal to the second predetermined number (e.g. 32-bits).

Re claim 7, Owen et al. further disclose in Figure 6A applying the accumulate operation comprises adding the result of the multiply operation to the result of the concatenating (e.g. the first stage is concatenated of XA and YA; and the second stage is multiplication of XB*YB as reversed).

Re claim 8, Owen et al. disclose in Figure 6A a method for initializing or zeroing an accumulator value (e.g. abstract and Figure 6A) comprising: routing a pair of input signals (e.g. X and Y in registers 14 and 16) to circuitry that is concentrated in a particular area of a programmable logic resource (e.g. programmable logic 10 in Figure 6A); applying a multiply operation to the pair of input signals using the circuitry (e.g. by multiplier 30); clearing a register in the circuitry based on at least one dedicated configuration bit that is set (e.g. setting 0 input into muxes 32 and 56); applying a feedback output to the circuitry (e.g. through mux 56), wherein the feedback output is initially set to zero (e.g. selecting 0 as input to mux 56); concatenating, in a first clock cycle (e.g. by clock 1 in Figure 6A), contents of the register with the feedback output (e.g. registers 14 and 16 are concatenated to form 32-bit into mux 32); and applying an accumulate operation on a result of the multiply operation with a result of the

Art Unit: 2193

concatenating (e.g. last stage of adder 34 in Figure 6A) in the same first clock cycle (e.g. the feedbacks as accumulation to the adder 34 is capable of adding previous results once the inputs are ready in Figure 6A); and storing a result of the accumulate operation for use as an initialized or zeroed accumulator value (e.g. component 40 or 42 in Figure 6A; Figure 3 and table 4).

Re claim 9, Owen et al. further disclose in Figure 6A the dedicated configuration bit is set by user input (e.g. all the control signals in Figure 6A for controlling the muxes).

Re claim 10, it has similar limitations cited in claim 3. Thus, claim 10 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 09/04/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. The applicant argues in pages 3-4 for claim 1 that the cited reference does not disclose the step of concatenate each signal of a pair of input signal and a feedback output wherein the feedback is initialized as zero as cited in the claimed invention.

The examiner respectfully submits that the above step of concatenating is seen in Figure 6A wherein the above concatenation is consist of two sub-concatenating as first concatenating of the pair of input signal to form 32 bit input into the mux 32 and the second concatenating is done by the adder with the result of the first sub-concatenating 32 bits and the initial 40 bit of zeros by mux 56. The adder or

Art Unit: 2193

accumulator 34 can be considered as second sub-concatenating since it adding 32 bit with 40 zeros bits (e.g. equivalent to concatenating 32 bit with 8 zero bits).

- b. The applicant argues in pages 5-6 for claims that the step of concatenate and apply an accumulate operation on a result of the concatenating and a result of multiplication operation in the same clock cycle.

The examiner respectfully submits that the cited reference does not explicitly limit the structure of Figure 6A to any cycle. Thus, whole operation of concatenate and accumulate can be operated in a single clock cycle given a long cycle.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2193


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHAT C. DO whose telephone number is (571)272-3721. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri 9:00AM to 7:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lewis Bullock can be reached on (571) 272-3759. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chat C. Do/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

November 23, 2008

<div>Application Number</div> <div></div>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/783,789	ZHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chat C. Do	2193	